

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/855,626	05/15/2001	Harm Jan Willem Belt	PHNL 000297	9253
24737	7590 01/07/2005		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS IN	TELLECTUAL PROP	GRIER, LAURA A		
P.O. BOX 300			A DT I DUT	DARCO MUARCO
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2644	
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/855,626	BELT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Laura A Grier	2644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 July 2004.						
<u> </u>						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7-9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the find drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/855,626 Page 2

Art Unit: 2644

DETAILED ACTION

1. The indicated allowability of claim 9 is withdrawn.

2. The Final Rejection mailed on 4/7/04 has been vacated.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 7-9 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim 6. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 7-9 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rasmusson et al., U. S. Patent No.6549627.

Regarding claims 1 and 4, Rasmusson et al. (herein, Rasmusson) discloses generating calibration signals for an adaptive beamformer (figures 5 and 6). Rasmusson's disclosure comprises a method and system of multiple inputs (405, and 405) coupled to an adaptive beamformer (417), which is used as acoustic echo canceller (abstract), wherein the echo cancelling data is maintained in the adaptive filters (415/413) – memory, which reads kept data of

Application/Control Number: 09/855,626 Page 3

Art Unit: 2644

individual history of each input signal, wherein the echo data is supplied to the beamformer, which reads on combined with current adaptive beamforming data (col. 3, lines 9-20, and col. 5, lines 8-64, lines 67 - col. 7, lines 1-14).

Regarding claims 2 and 5, Rasmusson discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claims 1 and 4, respectively). Rasmusson discloses in figures 5 and 6 respective inputs in parallel paths containing an acoustic path and beamformer path, where the signal paths are summed with adders and processed by the adaptive filters and beamformer.

Regarding claims 3 and 6, Rasmusson discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claims 1 and 4, respectively). Rasmusson further discloses the beamformer as an echo canceller, thus the adaptive beamformer comprises filtering (col. 6, lines 36-41).

Regarding **claim 10**, Rasmusson discloses generating calibration signals for an adaptive beamformer (figures 5 and 6) for a hands-free environment (hands-free communication equipment) comprising a loudspeaker (401) coupled to multiple microphones (405 and 407) coupled to an adaptive beamformer (417), which is used as acoustic echo canceller (abstract), wherein the echo canceling data is maintained in the adaptive filters (415/413) – memory, which reads kept data of individual history of each input signal, wherein the echo data is supplied to the beamformer, which reads on combined with current adaptive beamforming data (col. 3, lines 9-20, and col. 5, lines 8-64, lines 67 - col. 7, lines 1-14).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 01/16/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2644

The applicant essentially argues that the prior art of Rasmusson et al. fails to disclose the claimed invention in regards the limitation, "... individual processing history of adaptive echo canceling data being kept based upon the input signals and combining that data with current adaptive beamforming data". In respect the broadest interpretation of the claim language, Rasmusson teaches the limitations of the claimed invention. The claim language does not limit a type of echo canceling data or a specific technique of keeping the echo data, thus the FIR adaptive filters used by Rasmusson, which are forms of memory or echo cancellers the themselves supports the claimed limitation, and with the results of the filters being supplied to the beamformer, the then the echo data is combined with current adaptive beamforming data. Thus, the Rasmusson reference of prior art has been maintained.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura A Grier whose telephone number is (703) 306-4819. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Forester W. Isen can be reached on (703) 305-4386. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/855,626 Page 5

Art Unit: 2644

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Laura A. Griej January 5, 2005